

Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number: 1885 S HB	Title: Property crimes, impacts of	Agency: 055-Admin Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	---	---

Part I: Estimates

☐ No Fiscal Impact

Estimated Cash Receipts to:

Account	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Counties					
Cities					
Total \$					

Estimated Expenditures from:

STATE	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
State FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Account					
General Fund-State 001-1	100,059	95,059	195,118	190,118	190,118
State Subtotal \$	100,059	95,059	195,118	190,118	190,118
COUNTY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
County FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Counties					
Counties Subtotal \$					
CITY	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
City FTE Staff Years					
Account					
Local - Cities					
Cities Subtotal \$					
Local Subtotal \$					
Total Estimated Expenditures \$	100,059	95,059	195,118	190,118	190,118

The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- ☒ If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- ☐ If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- ☐ Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Legislative Contact: Rachelle Harris	Phone: 360-786-7137	Date: 02/24/2015
Agency Preparation: Kitty Hjelm	Phone: 360-704-5528	Date: 02/25/2015
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 02/25/2015
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date:

Request # SHB 1885-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

Substitute bill judicial impact compared to original bill judicial impact:

The substitute bill:

- amends the Property Offense Sentencing Grid to:
 - increase the sentencing ranges in four cells;
 - include the median/midpoint of each range; and
 - include reference to community custody supervision in each applicable cell;
- increases theft of a motor vehicle, taking a motor vehicle without permission, and possession of a stolen vehicle to a seriousness level III; and
- requires that the new sentences in the bill apply to those sentences imposed on or after July 1, 2015, regardless of the date of the offense.

The changes in sentencing requirements for property offenses would not result in additional workload for the courts .

Section 17 would require Administrative Office for the Courts (instead of the Washington Justice Ccommission) to establish a pretrial grant program.

Original Bill:

This bill addresses the property crime rate in Washington.

The bill responds to the findings of the state justice reinvestment task force by:

- Changing sentencing policy to require supervision of certain people convicted of property offenses;
- Providing treatment, if needed, and programs to reduce recidivism; and
- Providing additional support to local governments and victims of property crime.

New Section 7 would add new sentencing requirements for property offenses.

Section 8 creates a table identifying the seriousness level of property offenses . The seriousness level of the property offenses included in the new table come from the current table under RCW 9.94A.515.

Using different sentencing requirements for property offenses would not result in additional workload for the courts .

Section 13 would create the Washington justice commission and would require two superior court judges to be voting members . The chief justice of the supreme court or the chief justice's designee would be required to be an ex officio member .

Serving as a voting member of the commission would be considered part of current duties of the appointed superior court judges .

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

II. C - Expenditures

Administrative Office of the Courts assumes it would take 1 FTE of a Court Program Analyst to establish and administer the pretrial grant program required in Section 17 and 18. Expenditure impact includes salary, benefits, FTE goods and services, and one-time FTE equipment costs.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

III. A - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (State)

<i>State</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Salaries and Wages	71,496	71,496	142,992	142,992	142,992
Employee Benefits	21,563	21,563	43,126	43,126	43,126
Professional Service Contracts					
Goods and Other Services	2,000	2,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Travel					
Capital Outlays	5,000		5,000		
Inter Agency/Fund Transfers					
Grants, Benefits & Client Services					
Debt Service					
Interagency Reimbursements					
Intra-Agency Reimbursements					
Total \$	100,059	95,059	195,118	190,118	190,118

III. B - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (County)

<i>County</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. C - Expenditure By Object or Purpose (City)

<i>City</i>	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
FTE Staff Years					
Salaries and Benefits					
Capital					
Other					
Total \$					

III. D - FTE Detail

<i>Job Classification</i>	Salary	FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-17	2017-19	2019-21
Senior Court Program Analyst	71,496	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total FTE's	71,496	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact

Request # SHB 1885-1